

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA  
NEW YORK, NY 10112-3801

**COPY MAILED**

**AUG 3 0 2004**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Okamoto  
Application No. 10/762,469  
Filed: January 23, 2004  
Attorney Docket No. 00862.023437

**ON PETITION**

This decision concerns the June 10, 2004 correspondence captioned "Petition" which is being properly treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.53 that a drawing be considered part of the above-referenced application; and the concurrently filed "Request for Corrected Official Filing Receipt."

The petition and the request are both **GRANTED**.

The application was filed on January 23, 2004.

On April 26, 2004, the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) mailed a "Notice of Omitted Item in a Nonprovisional Application" (4/26/04 Notice"), stating that a filing date had been accorded this application, and that a drawing (Figure 6) described in the specification appeared to have been omitted from the filed application. The petition then followed, asserting that the allegedly omitted Figure 6 was filed with the other application papers on January 23, 2004.

The Office has located the drawing for Figure 6 in the application file. The petition is thus granted. The 4/26/04 Notice was mailed in error and is hereby withdrawn.

No petition fee is owed and none was charged to counsel's deposit account.

The application is being returned to OIPE for further processing with a filing date of January 23, 2004, using the papers filed on that date; for indicating in the USPTO record that 6 sheets of drawings (including that for Figure 6) were present on filing; and for mailing of a corrected Filing Receipt reflecting that 6 sheets of drawings are included in this application as filed. Thereafter, the file will be forwarded to Technology Center 2100 for examination in due course.


Telephone inquiries should be directed to Petitions Attorney RC Tang at (703) 308-0763.

John J. Gillon, Jr.  
Senior Attorney  
Office of Petitions

1/23/04

1/6

FIG. 1






FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

